



Measuring School Readiness:
**How do we know
when we're on track?**





Today, in Arizona and around the country, there is an increased focus on ensuring that children start school ready to learn. And for good reason: when children build a good educational foundation they are more likely to succeed in school.

The best way to know if Arizona's children are starting school ready to learn is to track their progress. One of the most important early learning outcomes children can achieve is to be proficient readers. Increasingly, government and school officials are looking toward third and fourth grade reading scores as indications of our success in preparing children to learn and as indications of the educational outcomes that can be expected in future years. But if we wait until third grade to measure progress, it is very hard to help children catch up. It is much better to identify the factors for *very young children* that influence their ability to read in later years.

The indicators presented here in five categories are key benchmarks that, combined, measure our progress in school readiness. These indicators can help bring together joint efforts among elected leaders, the State School Readiness Board, policy-makers and state officials, child care and pre-school teachers, parents, employers, and schools to talk about how to improve school readiness. The next step will be to choose and pursue action strategies that move us toward our goal that every child in Arizona start school ready to learn.



Indicators of School Readiness

Outcomes

Reading at Grade Level — being a good reader in the early grades is one of the strongest predictors of later success in school. Research suggests that students who fail to read at grade level by the 4th grade have a higher likelihood of dropping out of school.¹ Educators note that being able to read at grade level is critical by the fourth grade because that is when teaching and learning styles begin to shift. In the early grades, children are learning to read; after fourth grade they are reading to learn. In the later grades, educational success depends, to a large extent, on how well students can read.

The table below displays reading scores using the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) in 4th grade as well as Arizona's AIMS reading exams in 3rd grade. The AIMS test is only taken in Arizona and was first implemented in 2000; the results can help us see how we measure up to our own standards and how much we improve in the future. Arizona's NAEP scores remained stable between 1992 and 2002, but lag behind the national average. Both tests show that large numbers of children fall below basic reading standards. Nearly half of Arizona's 4th graders scored below basic reading levels on the NAEP in 2002, and one in four 3rd graders failed to meet Arizona's own reading standards.



Outcomes	Arizona		National	
	Early Year	Recent Year	Early Year	Recent Year
NAEP 4th grade reading ²	1992 46% below basic level 33% basic level 18% proficient level 3% advanced level	2002 49% below basic level 29% basic level 17% proficient level 4% advanced level	1992 38% below basic level 34% basic level 22% proficient level 6% advanced level	2002 38% below basic level 32% basic level 23% proficient level 6% advanced level
AIMS 3rd grade reading	Spring 2000 12% fell far below the standard 18% approached the standard 46% met the standard 25% exceeded the standard	Spring 2002 9% fell far below the standard 17% approached the standard 45% met the standard 29% exceeded the standard	Not applicable	Not applicable



Indicators of School Readiness

Risk Factors

Poverty — children who grow up in poor families are at greater risk than their non-poor peers of not being ready academically. For example, poor children are 1.5 times as likely to have a developmental delay or learning disability, twice as likely to repeat a grade, and more than twice as likely to drop out of school.^{3,4} In 2002, 1 in 5 Arizona children under age 5 lived in poverty and faced these elevated risks.

New Babies at Risk — children born into families with multiple risk factors face a far greater chance of educational failure than their peers. Babies at risk have at least two of these risk factors when they're born: their mother is a teenager, their mother is unmarried, their mother has less than 12 years of schooling, the birth was paid for by AHCCCS health coverage (indicating very low family income). In 2002, more than one out of four babies born in Arizona faced at least two of these risks, an improvement since 1990.

Special Education — children placed in special education classes in the early grades either have an identified learning disability or a physical or emotional disability that requires them to receive special services. Because of their special needs, these children may be at risk for not reading at grade level. Between 1998 and 2002, Arizona's rate of 1st graders placed in special education has remained fairly constant.

Student Mobility — students move in and out of schools for a variety of reasons. For some students, the move can represent a positive change in their school or home environment. For others, especially low-income and at-risk students, frequent changes in schools can mean disruptions linked with a host of problems, including reading below-grade level and being retained a grade.⁵ In 2002, 13% of Arizona's 3rd grade children changed schools during the school year.

Risks	Arizona		National	
	Early Year	Recent Year	Early Year	Recent Year
Children under age 5 in poverty	1990 30%	2002 21%	1990 20%	2002 18%
New babies at risk ⁶	1990 33%	2002 29%	No national source	No national source
% of 1st graders in special education	1998 7%	2002 8%	No national source	No national source
% of 3rd graders who changed schools ⁷	1998 12%	2002 13%	No national source	No national source



Indicators of School Readiness

Access to Services

Early Childhood Programs — quality preschool and child care programs help children grow and learn, especially children who face family problems. A whole field of research has shown that participation in these programs can lead to increased cognitive ability and lower rates of being held back in school.⁸ Research on Head Start includes 50 studies that provide evidence of immediate improvements in children’s intellectual and socio-emotional development.⁹ Nearly 6 out of ten poor three- and four-year-old children in Arizona were enrolled in Head Start in 2002. While some children participated in other pre-school programs, thousands of low-income children are left behind.

Health Programs — early physical and mental health are important ingredients to starting school ready to succeed. Children who lack health insurance are more likely to suffer from routine, preventable illnesses. And poor health can affect school performance. Lack of prenatal care has been linked to poor health outcomes, including developmental delays. Such health problems are also linked with poor educational outcomes.¹⁰ In 1998-2000, nearly one in three of Arizona’s low-income children under age six was uninsured. This is much higher than the national average. The percent of babies born to mothers without adequate prenatal care improved significantly in Arizona during the 1990s, but remained much higher than the national rate.

Nutrition Programs — poor nutrition has been found to affect children’s physical and intellectual development. The Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children, known as WIC, has been effective in improving young children’s nutrition.¹¹ In addition, a five-year national evaluation of this program found that young children whose mothers had participated in WIC scored significantly higher on vocabulary tests than children whose mothers did not participate in WIC.¹² In 2002, fewer than two out of three eligible low-income children received WIC services in Arizona, significantly below the national participation rate.

Access	Arizona		National	
	Early Year	Recent Year	Early Year	Recent Year
% of poor 3 and 4 yr. olds enrolled in Head Start ¹³	2000 60%	2002 59%	2000 54%	2002 60%
% of low-income children under age 6 without health insurance	Not available	1998-2000 33%	Not available	1998-2000 21%
Lack of adequate prenatal care ¹⁴	1990 10%	2000 7%	1990 6%	2000 4%
% of low-income children receiving WIC	1990 33%	2002 65%	Not available	2002 80%

Indicators of School Readiness

Quality of Early Education



Early Childhood Teacher Salary — the quality of child care and preschool programs sets the groundwork for learning that takes place when children arrive at the school house door. When early childhood teachers do not earn competitive salaries, it is difficult to attract the best educated and most capable teachers and many child care centers and preschool programs suffer from high rates of teacher turnover. All of these factors undermine the quality of early childhood teaching. The premier research study on child care quality found that teachers' wages were the second most important determinant of program quality – with the first being child-teacher ratios.¹⁵ In Arizona, and across the nation, early childhood teachers earn a fraction of Kindergarten teacher salaries.

National Accreditation of Early Childhood Programs — to obtain national accreditation, early childhood programs must meet specific quality standards such as low child-teacher ratios, increased teacher training, improved facilities, and formalized management procedures. Research on the National Association for the Education of Young Children (NAEYC) accreditation found that achieving accreditation has improved the quality of environments for young children.¹⁶ In 2002, only one out of eight licensed child care centers in Arizona was accredited by NAEYC. This is higher than the national average of one out of every 14 child care centers.

Quality	Arizona		National	
	Early Year	Recent Year	Early Year	Recent Year
Child care providers' salary relative to Kindergarten teachers' salary	1999 41%	2001 42%	1999 42%	2001 41%
Preschool teachers' salary relative to Kindergarten teachers' salary	1999 46%	2001 57%	1999 53%	2001 51%
% of licensed child care centers that are NAEYC accredited	Not available	2002 12%	Not available	2002 7%

Indicators of School Readiness

Readiness of Schools

Class Size — To promote school success, schools have to be ready to teach students in addition to students being ready for school. Research shows that children who are in smaller classes in the early grades academically outperform their peers in reading and math exams. These results are magnified for low-income and minority students.¹⁷ By having fewer students in the classroom, teachers have the time to identify learning difficulties before they blossom into real problems and work one-on-one with students to address those issues. In 1998, fewer than half of Arizona's 4th graders were in small classes (those with 25 or fewer children). This compares to 64% nationally.

Readiness of Schools	Arizona		National	
	Early Year	Recent Year	Early Year	Recent Year
% of 4th graders in classes with 25 or fewer children	1996 45%	1998 49%	1996 60%	1998 64%



We gratefully acknowledge

The **BHHS Legacy Foundation** for support of this publication and the **David and Lucile Packard Foundation** and the **Ford Foundation** for support of the School Readiness Indicators Project.

Special thanks to

Superintendent of Public Instruction, Tom Horne, for leadership of the Arizona School Readiness Indicators Team.

Team Members:

Nadine Mathis Basha,
State School Readiness Board

Nelba Chavez,
Arizona Department of Economic Security

Mike Fronske,
Arizona Department of Health Services

The Honorable Deb Gullett,
Arizona House of Representatives

C.J. Hindman,
AHCCCS

Irene Jacobs,
State School Readiness Board

Carol Kamin,
Children's Action Alliance

Marie Mancuso,
Arizona Department of Education

Jane Pearson,
St. Luke's Health Initiatives

Richard Porter,
Arizona Department of Health Services

Ruth Solomon,
Arizona Department of Education

Ginger Ward,
Southwest Human Development

Karen Woodhouse,
Arizona Department of Education

Sources:

National Assessment of Educational Progress:
National Center for Education Statistics

AIMS: Arizona Department of Education

Children in Poverty: U.S. Bureau of Census,
current population survey

New Babies at Risk: Arizona Department
of Health Services

Special Education: Arizona Department
of Education

Mobility: Arizona Department of Education

Head Start: U.S. Head Start Bureau

Lack of Health Insurance: National Center for
Children in Poverty

Lack of Adequate Prenatal Care:
Annie E. Casey Foundation

Women, Infants, and Children:
U.S. Department of Agriculture, Women,
Infants and Children Program

Early Childhood Instructor Salaries:
Bureau of Labor Statistics, National
Occupational Survey

National Accreditation: Children's
Defense Fund

Class Size: National Assessment of
Educational Progress



4001 N. 3rd Street
Suite 160
Phoenix, AZ 85012
(602) 266-0707

2850 N. Swan Road
Suite 160
Tucson, AZ 85712
(520) 795-4199

Endnotes

1. Barrington, B.L. (1989). "Differentiating characteristics of high school graduates, dropouts, and nongraduates." *Journal of Educational Research*. 82(6).
2. This data is for public schools only.
3. Stipek, D.J. and Ryan, R.H. (1997). Economically disadvantaged preschoolers: Ready to learn but further to go. *Developmental Psychology*. Vol.33 (4) pgs 711-723.
4. Brooks-Gunn, J. and Duncan, G. (1997). The effects of poverty on children. *The Future of Children*. Vol 7(2) pgs 55-71.
5. U.S. General Accounting Office. (1994). "Elementary school children: Many change schools frequently, harming their education." Washington, D.C.
6. 2 of 4 risk factors—mother is 19 years or younger, mother is unmarried, mother has less than 12 years of education, birth is paid for by AHCCCS.
7. This data is self reported via Stanford 9 exam reports.
8. Barnett, W. S. (1995). Long-term effects of early childhood programs on cognitive and school outcomes. *Future of Children*. Vol. 5(3). Pgs 25-50.
9. McKey, R.H., Condelli, L, Gnason, H., Baret, B.J., McConkey, C. and Plantz, M.C. (1985) *The Impact of Head Start on Children, Families and Communities*. Washington D.C.: CSR.
10. Cesarone, B. 1993. "Health Care, Nutrition, and Goal One." *ERIC Digest*. ED356102.
11. Rose, D, Habicht, J. and Devaney, B. (1998) Household participation in the Food Stamps and WIC programs increase the nutrient intake of preschool children. *Journal of Nutrition*. Vol: 128(3). Pgs 548-555.
12. Rush, D. (1986) *The National WIC Evaluation: An Evaluation of the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children*. Vol 1: Summary. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Agriculture.
13. Up to 10% of Head Start enrollment may include children whose family income is above the poverty level.
14. Percentage of births that received late (started in the 3rd trimester) or no prenatal care.
15. Mocan, H. N., Burchinal, M., Morris, J., and Helburn, S. 1995. "Models of quality in early childhood care and education". In *Cost, Quality, and Child Outcomes in Child Care Centers*: Technical Report. Pp279-295.
16. Whitebook, M. (1996) NAEYC accreditation as an indicator of program quality: What research tells us. In S. Bredekamp and B.A. Willer (Eds.) *NAEYC accreditation: A decade of learning and the years ahead*. NAEYC: Washington D.C.
17. Mosteller, F. The Tennessee study of class size in the early grades. *The Future of Children*. Vol 5:2.